UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/568,159 | 02/13/2006 | Giuseppe Caputo | SIB-001 | 5913 |
| 26868 Hasse & Nesbit | 7590 01/28/201 t LLC | EXAMINER | | |
| 8837 Chapel Sq | _ | CHU, YONG LIANG | | |
| | Suite C CINCINNATI, OH 45249 | | | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | | | 1626 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 01/28/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 10/568,159 CAPUTO, GIUSEPPE | |
| Examiner-induced interview Gammary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | YONG CHU | 1626 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | |
| (1) <u>YONG CHU</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Daniel F. Nesbitt</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 27 January 2010 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | ant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: 103(a) rejection and possoble allowed more limited scope of invo | ention. | |
| Claims discussed: 1-2, 4, 6, 8-9, and 14-16 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: Listed in the previous Office actions. | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE A proprosal for allowable subject matter and to be rejoined claim sequence listing on the peptide on page 26 of the specification. | ns were discussed. In addition, Ap | oplicants was asked to file |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar | e examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| /Yong Chu/ Primary Examiner, AU1626 | Applicant/Applicant's Representat | tive Signature – if appropriate) |
| | | |